# SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, OCTOBER 24, 2006 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S146277 B192255 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 NAKASHIMA (ROY H.) ON H.C. The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 30, 2006.

**S146287** A115040 First Appellate District, Div. 5 **BUSH (RONNIE G.) ON H.C.** The time granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to December 4, 2006.

S147419 H029756 Sixth Appellate District JASSO (JAIME M.) ON H.C. The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to December 11, 2006. (Cal. Rules of Court; rule 28.2(c).)

S139184 B179206 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 JOHNSON (WILLIAM) v. AMERICAN STANDARD

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to Consumer Attorneys of California amicus curiea brief is extended to November 13, 2006.

S140308 A107219 First Appellate District, Div. 1 MURPHY (JOHN P.) v. KENNETH COLE PRODUCTIONS, INC.

On application of respondent (John Paul Murphy) and appellant (Kenneth Cole Productions, Inc.) and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file consolidated briefs replying to amicus curiae briefs and application of time is hereby extended to December 19, 2006.

**S142508** A109478 First Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. ALFORD (TRACY F.)** On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is hereby extended to November 20, 2006.

S145428 B179819 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 MARATHON ENTERTAINMENT v. BLASI (ROSA)

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is hereby extended to October 30, 2006.

**S145669** E040905 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **BAKER (FRED L.) ON H.C.** On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is hereby denied.

#### S147443

# REMMERT (SHIRLEY V.) v. S.C. (MIRAM)

The application of petitioner for leave to file Petition for Writ of Mandate is hereby denied.

S147445

# BETTENCOURT (GARY R.) v. S.C. (THE PEOPLE)

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

**B184498** Second Appellate District, Div 1

EVEREST INVESTORS 8, LLC v. MCNEIL PARTNERS, LP

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division One to Division Seven.

**B189575** Second Appellate District, Div. 7

GREGORY MALAIS v. LOS ANGELES CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Seven to Division One.

#### S145875

#### SUSPENSION DUES NONPAYMENT

Due to clerical error on the part of The State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of fees filed on August 22, 2006, effective September 18, 2006, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the name **JOHN THOMAS IRONS**, #42059.

#### S145875

## SUSPENSION DUES NONPAYMENT

Due to clerical error on the part of The State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of fees filed on August 22, 2006, effective September 18, 2006, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the name **WALTER WILLIAM SCHOENAU**, #149775.

# BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (SEE ORIGINAL CERTIFICATION FOR LIST OF NAMES)

# SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SACRAMENTO SESSION NOVEMBER 7, 2006

#### FIRST AMENDED

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for oral argument at its courtroom in the Stanley Mosk Library and Courts Building, Sacramento, California, on November 7, 2006.

## <u>TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2006 — 9:00 A.M.</u>

S132972	Vineyard Area Citizens v. City of Rancho Cordova
	(Sunrise Douglas Property Owners' Assn. et al., Real Parties in Interest)
S134505	People v. Dolly (Norman)
S126664	Conservator ship of Ben C.

## 1:30 P.M.

S129896	People v. Calhoun (Lawrence Lamont)		
S132666	People v. Navarro (Horacio)		
(Kitching, J., assigned justice pro tempore)			
(To be called and continued to the December 2006 calendar)			
S035348	People v. Smith (Robert Lee) (Automatic Appeal)		

Chief Justice	

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must comply with rule 18(c) of the California Rules of Court.